UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/723,932 | 11/26/2003 | Saravanakumar V. Tiruthani | 2003P00078US | 9779 | |
| | 7590 06/01/200 er, Legal Administrator | EXAMINER | | | |
| Siemens Corporation | | | BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R | | |
| Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Iselin, NJ 08830 | | | 2446 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/01/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/723,932 | TIRUTHANI, SARAVANAKUMA | AR V. | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART | 2446 | | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART</u> . | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Charles Peterson, Reg. No.</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 27 May 2009. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)∏ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,5,11,16 and 17</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner and applicant spoke by phone and through email with respect to new claims 16 and 17 about incorporating those features into claims 5 and 11 as well as parallel changes made to claim 1 to incoporate that subject matter plus the notion of notifying by the AE module to put the case in condition for allowance.</u> | | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | opy of the amendments that w | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPROPERTY. | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APPL ' DAYS FROM TH WHICHEVER IS L | ICANT IS IIS | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| /Benjamin R Bruckart/ | | | | | | | |